

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PARIS 26447 01 OF 02 101858Z

46

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
----- 070114

R 101803Z OCT 75
FM AMEMBASSY PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4086

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 PARIS 26447

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

TAGS: PFOR, CSCE, US, FR, NATO

SUBJ: CSCE, IMPLEMENTATION: FRENCH OFFER OF IN-
FORMAL BILATERAL CONSULTATION

1. SUMMARY: FRENCH APPRECIATE BALANCE AND MODERATION OF US FOLLOWUP INITIATIVES IN BRUSSELS AND ARE NOW THEMSELVES CLEARLY TAKING MORE PRAGMATIC VIEW ON MULTILATERAL COORDINATION. AT SAME TIME QUAI ALSO WANTS TO TAKE ADVANTAGE BILATERAL CONTACTS WITH US, IN LINE FLEXIBLE APPROACH WE HAVE SET OUT IN THIS REGARD. QUAI THEREFORE SUGGESTS REGULAR, BUT VERY INFORMAL CONTACTS IN PARIS TO EXCHANGE INFO SUPPLEMENTARY WORK GOING ON IN BRUSSELS. END SUMMARY.

2. CONTACTS QUAI OFFICIALS CONCERNED CSCE FOLLOWUP CONFIRM OUR IMPRESSION GOF IS GENERALLY APPRECIATIVE OF MODERATION AND SENSITIVITY US POSITIONS ON CSCE IMPLEMENTATION PRESENTED IN LAST WEEKS AT NATO. QUAI AGREED FROM OUTSET TO USEFULNESS FOCUSING CBM FOLLOWUP EFFORTS IN NATO FRAMEWORK. MOREOVER, WE HAVE NOTED WITH INTEREST ABSENCE OF ANY VERY AUDIBLE OBJECTIONS REGARDING SOMEWHAT BROADER EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION EXERCISES NOW BEING DISCUSSED.

3. INDEED, QUAI SEEMS TO US TO BE TAKING GENERALLY LESS DOCTRINAIRE VIEW OF WESTERN FOLLOWUP COORDINATION THAN MIGHT HAVE BEEN EXPECTED. SAUVAGNARGUES, SPEAK-
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PARIS 26447 01 OF 02 101858Z

ING TO COUNCIL OF EUROPE'S PARLIAMENTARY ASSOCIATION

(STRASBOURG 156) DID REITERATE THAT HELSINKI AGREEMENTS ARE BEST IMPLEMENTED THROUGH BILATERAL CHANNELS. ON OTHER HAND, HE ALSO SAID FRANCE PREPARED EXCHANGE VIEWS THROUGH COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS OF COUNCIL OF EUROPE. SIMILAR FLEXIBILITY WAS REFLECTED IN FRENCH ACCEPTANCE, ALBEIT AFTER SOME RESISTANCE, OF EC-NINE CSCE WORKING GROUP (CURRENTLY MEETING IN ROME), AND IN GENERAL IN THE DEGREE TO WHICH THEY ARE APPARENTLY ADJUSTING TO NATO COORDINATION.

4. THERE MAY BE SEVERAL REASONS FOR THIS MELLOWING. QUAI HAS CLEARLY COME TO REALIZE THAT IT CANNOT MAINTAIN DOCTRINAIRE OPPOSITION TO MULTILATERAL EXCHANGE, AND DISCUSSIONFOLLOWUP WITHOUT ITSELF SEEMING TO HANDICAP IMPLEMENTATION OF FINAL ACT (AND PLAYING INTO SOVIET HANDS). SECONDLY, THERE IS GROWING PRACTICAL AWARENESS OF SCOPE AND COMPLEXITY OF FOLLOWUP ISSUE. QUAI'S APPRECIATION OF ITS OWN NEEDS FOR INFO AND COORDINATION, PARTICULARLY AS IT EMBARKS ON EXECUTION OF FRENCH CSCE BILATERAL TEXTS, HAS THEREFORE GROWN SOMEWHAT. LASTLY IT IS ALSO BECOMING MORE AWARE OF RISKS OF POSSIBLE MISTAKES (I.E., IN CURRENT EXERCISE OF DRAFTING A GISCARD-BREZHNEV CSCE TEXT IN MOSCOW) WHICH COULD EXPOSE GOF TO UNNECESSARY EC-NINE AND NATO CRITICISM. IN SHORT, SO FAR AT LEAST, WE NOTE A SLIGHT NEW AIR OF REASONABLENESS ON THIS EMBATTLED SUBJECT.

5. EVEN SO, QUAI DOES AND CERTAINLY WILL CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN OPPOSITION TO CSCE INSTITUTIONALIZATION AND OVER-AMBITIOUS WESTERN COORDINATION. FOR INSTANCE, IN SEVERAL RECENT CONVERSATIONS QUAI HAS STRESSED TO US THAT IT HAD NOT ENVISAGED STARTING COORDINATED DISCUSSIONS FOCUSED ON 1977 FOLLOWUP CONFERENCE IN THE COMING MONTHS. THIS REFLECTS TRADITIONAL MINIMALIST FRENCH ATTITUDE TOWARD FOLLOWUP; AND CONCERN THAT OVER-ENERGETIC INITIATIVES PROBABLY WITH BLOC-TO-BLOC OVERTONES, COULD AT THIS STAGE BE COUNTERPRODUCTIVE IN MOSCOW, FOR REASONS SIMILAR TO THOSE CITED IN LONDON'S EXCELLENT 15477. MOREOVER THERE IS THE

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PARIS 26447 01 OF 02 101858Z

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATION THAT THE OLD FRENCH CSCE TEAM IS DISPERSING AND THAT MUCH SMALLER NEW QUAI OFFICE HAS NOT YET BEEN ESTABLISHED. FOR ALL THESE REASONS FRENCH OBJECT FOR NEXT MONTHS WILL BE TO PUT THEIR OWN CSCE PRIORITIES AND HOUSE IN BETTER ORDER.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PARIS 26447 02 OF 02 101857Z

46

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /026 W
----- 070121

R 101803Z OCT 75
FM AMEMBASSY PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4087

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 PARIS 26447

EXDIS

6. EVEN SO, FROM US POINT OF VIEW, MODERATING PARIS ATTITUDE ON FOLLOWUP DOES OFFER OPPORTUNITIES FOR CONSTRUCTIVELY INFLUENCING FRENCH THINKING ON CSCE IMPLEMENTATION. IN THIS CONNECTION PLEASED TO NOTE THAT QUAI HAS ON SEVERAL RECENT OCCASIONS SHOWN INTEREST IN QUESTION OF US-FRENCH BILATERAL CSCE CONTACTS, AS FORESHADOWED FRENCH REMARK TO THIS EFFECT REPORTED IN NATO'S 5050. EMBOFFS WERE TOLD ON TWO RECENT OCCASIONS THAT QUAI WOULD WELCOME TAKING ADVANTAGE OF ASSURANCES US PROCEDURAL FLEXIBILITY REGARDING BILATERAL AS WELL AS MULTILATERAL CONSULTATIONS. QUAI IS THEREFORE PREPARED TO HAVE REGULAR, BUT WHOLLY INFORMAL, DISCREET AND UNSTRUCTURED CONSULTATIONS ON FOLLOWUP ISSUES OF INTEREST ON BILATERAL PLANE IN PARIS. QUAI STRESSED THAT SUCH CONTACTS WOULD NOT BE INTENDED CIRCUMVENT WORK GOING ON IN BRUSSELS, BUT MIGHT INVOLVE SPECIFIC TECHNICAL QUESTIONS AND EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS ON PLANS AND PERCEPTIONS US AND FRANCE MIGHT HAVE ON VARIOUS ASPECTS CSCE IMPLEMENTATION AND COMPLIANCE.

7. COMMENT AND REQUEST FOR ACTION: PROPOSED INFORMAL AND REGULAR BILATERAL CSCE CONTACT IN PARIS WOULD OBVIOUSLY REQUIRE CAREFUL HANDLING TO ASSURE THAT IT DOES NOT UNDERCUT MAIN EFFORT IN NATO. ON OTHER HAND, WE BELIEVE ADVANTAGES OF ACCEPTING THIS IDEA CLEARLY OUTWEIGHT THE RISKS: FIRST, FRENCH SUGGESTION
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PARIS 26447 02 OF 02 101857Z

IS FURTHER INDICATION OF QUAI'S GENERALLY EVOLVING WILLINGNESS TO CONSULT WITH US BILATERALLY AND ON INFORMAL BASIS, A TREND WHICH WE SHOULD NOT REBUFF OR DISCOURAGE. MORE SPECIFICALLY (AND PARTLY BECAUSE OF QUAI'S LIMITED STAFF IN THIS FIELD) THIS MIGHT WELL BE VERY USEFUL OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP CONSTRUCTIVE BUT INFORMAL INFLUENCE ON FRENCH CSCE POSITION, PARTICULARLY IF WE CAN SUPPLY QUICK RESPONSES ON TECHNICAL OR SUBSTANTIVE POINTS THEY MAY WISH TO DISCUSS AND CAN PRESENT QUAI WITH WELL THOUGHT OUT OBSERVATIONS OF OUR OWN. MOST IMPORTANTLY, SUCH INFORMAL EXCHANGES SHOULD IN TIME PUT US IN INCREASINGLY BETTER POSITION TO LEARN OF FRENCH THINKING AND PLANS ON CSCE ISSUES BOTH IN BILATERAL AND MULTILATERAL ARENA.

8. EMBASSY THEREFORE RECOMMENDS THAT IT BE AUTHORIZED, IF POSSIBLE BY OCT 15, TO ACCEPT THIS OFFER ON SAME TERMS IN WHICH IT WAS MADE. IF DEPARTMENT CONCURS WE WOULD LIKE TO OPEN DISCUSSION FOR OUR PART WITH REQUEST FOR DETAILED ACCOUNT FRENCH CSCE NEGOTIATIONS DURING GISCARD'S MOSCOW VISIT.

9. FOR SS: PLEASE REPEAT THIS MESSAGE AT YOUR DISCRETION TO LONDON, MOSCOW, BONN AND USNATO.
RUSH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: COLLECTIVE SECURITY, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, MILITARY EXERCISES, FORCE & TROOP LEVELS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 OCT 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975PARIS26447
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750353-0389
From: PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751061/aaaaccha.tel
Line Count: 198
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 24 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <28 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CSCE, IMPLEMENTATION: FRENCH OFFER OF IN- FORMAL BILATERAL CONSULTATION
TAGS: PFOR, US, FR, NATO, CSCE
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006